A model for elasticity and pinning of domain walls in helical magnets
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The theory of elasticity and coercivity of domain walls in both, centrosymmetric and non-centrosymmetric helical magnets, is developed. Generically these walls consist of regular arrays of magnetic vortex lines. Exceptions are walls oriented along high symmetry directions. The elasticity of the latter turns out to be non-local below their roughening transition, pinning of these walls by disorder is negligible. In contrast, weak anisotropy, breaking the $U(1)$ symmetry of the helix, results in domain wall pinning by the bulk. Walls of other orientations consist of pairs of vortex lines separated by vortex free parts. These are strongly pinned by disorder. The application to chiral liquid crystals is briefly discussed.
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Introduction.—Pinning plays a key role in condensed matter systems: it restores the state of zero resistance in type-II superconductors by anchoring flux lines [1] and hardens steel by blocking the motion of dislocations, but it also prevents charge density waves to become ideal conductors [2]. In ferroelectrics and ferromagnets pinning of domain walls (DWs) influences their hardness and switching behavior [3, 4], strongly relevant for potential applications as storage media [5]. In all cases pinning and consequently coercivity result from the competition of the impurity potential, which favors deformations of the condensed structure, and the rigidity of the latter, which penalize them. The appearance of a non-zero coercive force requires the emergence of multistability of the resulting effective potential [5]. Its detailed description demands therefore the use of elaborate techniques like the functional renormalization group (FRG) method [7]. Recently a new type of DWs in helical magnets has been predicted [8]. Helical magnets are abundant, occurring as metals and alloys [9–13], semiconductors [14] and multiferroics [15–22]. The latter group is most interesting for applications [16]. It was shown in [8] that for almost all orientations DWs in these systems consist of a regular array of magnetic vortex lines. These walls can be driven by currents and in multiferroics by electric fields [3]. Vortex walls have indeed been seen in circularly polarized X-rays in Ho [10], and by Lorentz-TEM in FeGe [13]. Only for special orientations DWs are vortex free. The latter have been studied previously by Hubert [3]. However, at least in films, Hubert walls (HWs) were not found [23]. Finally we mention that there are close analogies between the ordering of helimagnets and of chiral nematic and smectic liquid crystals [24, 25] such that DWs with similar properties have to be expected there as well.

Outline and results.—In the present letter we investigate the elasticity and impurity pinning of DWs in helimagnets. We show that the standard model for (centrosymmetric) helimagnets can be considerably simplified by writing it in a form resembling the London theory of superconductors. In this description magnetic vortices result from a fictitious magnetic field acting only inside the DW. HWs are found to exhibit non-local elasticity below the roughening transition temperature $T_R$. They are therefore too stiff to be pinned by impurities. Thus metastable HWs can disappear easily from the sample, which may explain why HWs were not observed so far in experiment. Roughening of HWs occurs by entropy driven proliferation of steps consisting of pairs of vortex lines of the same vorticity. Against expectation these vortices neither repel each other nor do they have an energy increasing logarithmically with system size. An additional disorder driven roughening of HWs is shown to have a weak effect only. On the contrary, vortex walls exhibit local elasticity and are strongly pinned by the local coupling to the disorder. This is shown by using a FRG method. The estimated size of metastable domains is in fair agreement with the experiment. We finally discuss a non-local (bulk) pinning mechanism for HWs which exists in the presence of a weak anisotropy, breaking the $U(1)$ symmetry of the magnetic structure. Although most of the derivations are presented in detail for centrosymmetric systems, the results transfer to the non-centrosymmetric case as well. Finally we discuss some conclusions for DWs in chiral liquid crystals.

Hamiltonian.—To describe helical magnets we use the appropriate Ginzburg-Landau-Hamiltonian $H[\mathbf{m}(r)]$. $\mathbf{m} = (m_x, m_y, m_z)$ denotes the magnetization. Below we will parametrize $\mathbf{m}$ by the angle $\phi$, $m_x + im_y = e^{i\phi}$, assuming $m^2 = 1$ and $m_z = 0$. Since in helimagnets both time and space inversion symmetry are broken, their paraphrase can be centrosymmetric or non-centrosymmetric. Centrosymmetry requires invariance with respect to space and time inversion, i.e. $\mathbf{r} \rightarrow -\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{m} \rightarrow -\mathbf{m}$. If there are only two modulation vectors $\mathbf{q} = \pm(\theta/a)x$, as in most centrosymmetric systems, one finds up to quadratic terms in $\mathbf{m}$ [3]

$$
H = \frac{J}{2} \int_{\tau} \left[ -\frac{\partial^2}{2a} (\partial_x \mathbf{m})^2 + \frac{a}{4} (\partial_x^2 \mathbf{m})^2 + \frac{1}{a} (\nabla_\perp \mathbf{m})^2 \right]. \quad (1)
$$

Here $\int_{\tau} = \int d^3r$ and $\nabla_\perp = \hat{y} \partial_y + \hat{z} \partial_z$. $\theta$ and $a$ denote the angle between adjacent spins along the x-direction.
and the lattice constant. The continuum approach is valid provided \( \theta \ll \pi \). Experimentally one finds \( \theta = 0.27 - 0.73 \) under ambient conditions \([9]\) and \( \theta \to 0 \) under uniaxial pressure \([20]\). In systems where indirect RKKY exchange between 4f electrons \([27]\) results in nearest neighbor ferromagnetic (\( J > 0 \)) and next nearest neighbor anti-ferromagnetic (\( J' < 0 \)) interaction, \( \theta = \arccos(J/|J'|) \) in the ground state.

With the replacement \( m_x + im_y = e^{i\phi} \), the Hamiltonian \([1]\) includes a non-linear term \( (\partial_x \phi)^2 - q^2 \), making calculations cumbersome. We will therefore resort in the following to an approximation and replace this expression by \( 4q^2(\partial_x \phi - A(r))^2 \). \( A(r) \) is assumed to be constant inside a domain \( (A = \pm q) \) and to change smoothly from \(-q\) to \( q\) on a scale \( q^{-1}\) when crossing the DW parallel to its normal \( \hat{n} \). The resulting Hamiltonian

\[
H_0 = \frac{J}{2} \int \left[ aq^2(\partial_x \phi - A)^2 + \frac{1}{a}(\partial_\perp \phi)^2 \right] \, (2)
\]

resembles the London theory of type-II superconductors. \( A = (A(r),0,0) \) plays the role of a vector potential which generates a fictive magnetic field \( B = \nabla \times A \) acting only inside the DW where it creates vortices. \( q^{-1} \) corresponds to the London penetration lengths.

Elasticity of HWs.—Calculation of pinning forces requires knowledge of the elasticity of the DW \([6]\). The energy of long wave length elastic DW distortions \( u \) from a planar reference configuration can be written as

\[
\mathcal{H}_{el} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2 \zeta d^2 \zeta' \mathcal{G}^{-1}(\zeta - \zeta') u(\zeta) u(\zeta'). \quad (3)
\]

\( \zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \) are the coordinates perpendicular to the DW normal \( \hat{n} \). To determine \( \mathcal{G}(\zeta) \) we consider first HWs, assuming that their distortions are free of vortices (but see below). In this case the saddle point equation for \( \phi \), following from \([1]\), can be solved exactly \([3]\). For an isolated planar wall the solution is \( \phi_0(x) = \ln \cosh(qx) \). The choice \( A(r) = q \tanh(qm r) \) in \([2]\) reproduces \( \phi_0(x) \). The solution of the saddle point equation, corresponding to \([2]\), for a distorted HW can be found using the Ansatz invented in \([28]\) for contact lines in wetting problems

\[
\phi(r) = \int_0^x dx' A(x') + \int_k e^{ik\zeta - |k||x|}/\alpha_k. \quad (4)
\]

Here \( \int_k = \int d^2 k/(2\pi)^2 \). The first term describes the unperturbed phase field, the second term its corrections up to a distance \( |k|^{-1} \) from the average wall position. \( \alpha_k \) is to be determined from \( \phi(u(\zeta), \zeta) = 0 \). To lowest order in \( u, \alpha_k = -uq_1 \), provided \( |k| < q \). Plugging \([4]\) back into \([2]\) we get for the Fourier transform of \( \mathcal{G}(\zeta) \)

\[
\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_H^{-1}(k) \approx |q|^3 \left( 4|k| + 2ak^2/3 \right). \quad (5)
\]

This is valid for distortions \(|q|u| > 1\). The dominant term \( \sim |k| \) results from the long range interaction of the wall which makes the DW very stiff and suppresses pinning.

of HWs, as we will show below. The second term in \([5]\) is the contribution from the increased surface area due to the wall distortion and is relevant only for large \( k \). In real space the energy expression \([5]\) for the HW is non-local, \( \mathcal{G}_H^{-1}(r) \sim J(|q|/|r|)^3 \). This is in strong contrast to Bloch and Néel walls, whose elasticity is related to anisotropy and strictly local.

**Roughening transition of HWs.**—Next we consider the possibility of a roughening transition of the HW which would render elasticity short range \([29]\). To this aim we consider a HW with a step at \( \zeta_1 = 0 \), parallel to the \( \zeta_2 \)-axis [see Fig.1 right]. It can be described by the function

\[
\phi_s(x, \zeta_1) \equiv \phi_0(x - \tau \pi/q) + \tau \pi \text{sign} (x - \tau \pi/q), \quad (6)
\]

where \( \tau = \text{sign} \, \zeta_0 \). \( \phi_s(x, \zeta_1) \) is smooth across \( \zeta_1 = 0 \) for \( |x| \gg \pi/q \). On the contrary, in the region \( |x| < \pi/q \) the sign of \( \partial_x \phi_s \) is opposite for \( \zeta_1 \leq 0 \). Hence the integral along a contour \( C \) enclosing the step gives \( f_C \phi = 4\pi \), i.e. the step consists of two vortices. Since in this construction the vortex configuration is restricted to a narrow slice of width \( a \), the step energy per unit length, \( \varepsilon_H \), is of the order \( J/(a \theta) \). Further relaxation of the configuration \( \phi_s \) by allowing the vortex to extend over a larger region can only decrease \( \varepsilon_H \). A variational calculation then gives

\[
\varepsilon_H \approx (\pi \sqrt{3}/4)Jr/\alpha \approx (\pi \sqrt{3}/4)(Jr/(2\theta)). \quad (7)
\]

The fact that \( \varepsilon_H \) is finite, as well as the attraction of the two vortices is surprising: typically vortices repel each other and are associated with an energy increasing logarithmically with the system size. In the present case however this energy is saved by the presence of the HW. For energetic reasons the two vortices also do not separate.

Using the step energy \( \varepsilon_H \) in the results for the roughening transition in the ASOS model \([30]\) one obtains for the roughening transition temperature of the HW

\[
T_R^{(H)} \approx 2.2J(k_B/k) \approx \kappa T_N. \quad (7)
\]

In the second step \( T_R \) is compared with the Néel temperature \( T_N \). For \( T_N \) we adopt here the result for the 3D
XY-model, \( T_N \approx 2.2J/k_B \) \cite{51}, corresponding to the use of \cite{2}. This approximation is restricted to a region not too close to the Lifshitz point \( \theta = 0 \). Above \( T_R \) the HW exhibits short range elasticity. However, since \( T_R \) is of the order \( T_N \), the non-local elasticity dominates over a large temperature region.

**Elasticity of vortex walls.**—Next we consider a DW of arbitrary orientation, which include vortices. Denoting by \( \alpha = \arccos(\hat{n} \cdot \hat{x}) \) the angle between the x-axis and the wall normal \( \hat{n} \), for not too large \( \alpha \), the wall consists of a finite density of those steps considered before. Its surface tension \( \sigma(\alpha) \) can then be written as

\[
\sigma(\alpha) \approx |\sigma_H + \epsilon_{\text{int}}(\alpha/\tan \alpha)| \cos \alpha + \sigma_v |\sin \alpha|.
\]

The linear term in \( \epsilon \) vanishes at finite temperatures since \( T_R = 0 \) for the vicinal surface considered here. This follows from the fact that HWs are structureless in the plane orthogonal to \( \hat{x} \) and hence steps can meander freely, leading to a rough surface \cite{29}. A distortion \( \partial_\alpha u \) leads to an expression without derivatives since the surface tension depends only on \( \alpha \). The total elastic energy for the vortex wall can therefore be written as

\[
\frac{\sigma(\alpha + \epsilon)}{\cos \epsilon} - \sigma(\alpha) \approx \sigma'(\epsilon) \epsilon + \frac{1}{2} \sigma(\alpha)'' \epsilon^2.
\]

The mixed term in the elastic energy vanishes for symmetry reasons. Note that \( C_1 \) only depends on the vortex interaction which is small for small \( \alpha \). A corresponding calculation for \( \alpha \approx \pi/2 \) is more difficult. The height \( h \) of steps in pure vortex walls can take any value, but steps cannot meander freely since vortex walls have a structure periodic in the \( \hat{x} \)-direction. However, since the step energy \( \epsilon_v(h) \) is small, vortices can almost freely slide against each other; hence \( T_R \) is low in this case \cite{32}.  

**Pinning of HWs.**—DWs can be pinned by impurities. The statistical pinning theory of DWs with local elasticity has been developed some time ago \cite{7}. In the present context it applies to vortex walls. We will therefore concentrate in the following on the new features arising for pinning of HWs below their roughening transition. We assume the presence of non-magnetic impurities of concentration \( n_{\text{imp}} \) which dilute the system and hence contribute a term \( H_{\text{imp}} = -J_\gamma \sum_i \epsilon[\phi(\mathbf{r}_i, u)] \) to the energy. \( J_\gamma a^3 \) is the energy density of the domain wall, \( \gamma \) and \( \mathbf{r}_i \) denote the impurity strength and position, respectively. For HWs \( E_H \approx a^4(\phi_0^2(x - u))^2/2 \) \cite{2}. The local pinning force density follows then from

\[
f(\zeta, u) = -\frac{\delta H_{\text{imp}}}{\delta u} \approx \sum_i \delta(\zeta - \zeta_i) F(x_i - u)
\]

where \( \mathbf{r}_i = (x_i, \zeta_i) \) and \( F(x) = J \gamma a^4 \left[ \phi_0^2(x) \right]^2/2 \). Averaging over the impurity positions we get \( \langle f \rangle = 0 \) and

\[
\langle f(\zeta, u) f(\zeta', u') \rangle = \delta(\zeta - \zeta') \Delta_0(u - u').
\]

Here \( \langle \rangle \) denotes the disorder average and \( \Delta_0(u) = n_{\text{imp}} \int dx F(x - u) F(x) \). Second order perturbation theory gives for the pinning threshold of a driven DW \cite{27}

\[
f_{\text{pin}} = -\Delta_0'(u \to 0) G(0).
\]

Here \( \pm \) sign denotes the sign of the driving force. Generically \( \Delta_0'(0) = -\int dx (F(2x))'' = 0 \) for analytic \( \Delta(u) \), since \( F(x \to \pm \infty) = 0 \). Then \( f_{\text{pin}} = 0 \), i.e. there is no coercivity from perturbation theory. Instead we can use a FRG approach for \( \Delta(u) \), provided the dimension \( D(= 2) \) of the DW is below the critical dimension \( D_c \). The resulting pinning strength then increases exponentially with \( D_c - D \). \( D_c \) follows from the comparison of the energy \( E_{\text{el}} \) of an elastic strain \( 1/(qL) \), considered on a sufficiently large scale \( L \), with the fluctuation of the pinning energy \( E_{\text{pin}} \sim L^{D/2} \) on this scale. If \( E_{\text{el}} < E_{\text{pin}} \), the DW can adapt to the disorder and hence accommodate to a potential valley where it gets pinned. In the opposite case the DW is to stiff to stay in one valley. By crossing the rugged energy landscape, potential forces on the DW show either sign such that the resulting pinning force is proportional to the square root of its area. It is therefore surpassed by the driving force, which is typically proportional to the area. For systems with short range elasticity \( E_{\text{el}} \sim L^{D-2} \), and hence \( E_{\text{el}} < E_{\text{pin}} \) for \( D < D_c = 4 \). On the contrary, for HWs \( E_{\text{el}} \sim L^{D-1} \) and hence \( D_c = 2 \), resulting in exponentially weak pinning, as we will show now.

Following the calculation scheme used in \cite{27} one can show that the effective force correlator \( \Delta(u) \) on scale \( L = a e^\ell \) obeys the RG flow equation (\( c = 1/[64 \pi J^2 x^6] \))

\[
\frac{d \Delta(u)}{d \ell} = c \frac{d^2}{d u^2} \Delta(u) \left[ 2 \Delta(0) - \Delta(u) \right].
\]

Introducing the curvature of the force correlator at \( u = 0 \), \( g_0 = \Delta_0'(0) \), we find from \cite{14} and \( \Delta'(0) = 0 \) that \( g \) obeys the equation \( d g_0/d \ell = -6 c g^2 \). Integration with the generic initial value \( g_0 < 0 \) shows, that \( g \) develops a pole on scale \( \mathcal{L} = a \exp[1/(6c g_0)] \). On larger scale \( \Delta_0(u) \) exhibits a cusp. In this region \cite{14} can be solved with the
ansatz \( \Delta_r(u) = c^{-1}A^2\ell^{-1+2\mu} \Delta^*(uA^{-1}\ell^{-\mu}) \) which gives for \( \Delta^*(u) \) the relation
\[
(1 - 2\mu - \Delta^{*\prime\prime}) \Delta^* - \mu u \Delta^{*\prime} - \Delta^{*\prime\prime} + \Delta^{*\prime} = 0. \tag{15}
\]
We have chosen \( A \) such that \( \Delta^*(0) = 1 \). \( \mu = 1/3 \) can be found from the fact that \( \partial_r \int_0 \Delta_r(u) \partial_u \Delta_r(u) = 0 \). For small \( u \) one then gets \( \Delta^*(u) = -u/|u|^{5/3} + 2u^2/9 \). Thus \( \Delta^*(\pm 0) \sim -\text{sign} u \) and \( \infty > g^* > 0 \). We can now apply \( \text{(13)} \), using the renormalized function \( \Delta_r(u) \) on scales larger \( \mathcal{L} \). The latter plays the role of the short length scale cut-off of the renormalized theory. With \( \text{pin} \) plays the role of the short length scale cut-off of
\[
\gamma = \gamma^2 n_{\text{imp}} a^3 \text{ is proportional to the volume fraction of the impurities and } c_1 \approx 14.2. \text{ Since both } \theta, \gamma_{\text{imp}} \ll 1, \text{ pinning of HWs by direct interaction with impurities is completely negligible, as long as one is below } T_R. \text{ This is a direct consequence of their non-local elasticity. It implies that after a quench to a metastable multi-domain state HWs will quickly disappear from the sample. Indeed, in films of helical magnets with film plane perpendicular to the helical axis, domains were found to extend over the whole film width.} \text{ We have also studied the possibility of disorder driven roughening of HWs which would render its elasticity local.} \text{ Using arguments similar to those used in } \text{34} \text{, we found that the second energy surrounding an terrace of linear size } L, \text{ is now lowered due the disorder induced corrugation by } \varepsilon_{\text{H,imp}} = \varepsilon_H \sim -Jc^2_{\text{imp}} \ln(L/a^{1/2}). \varepsilon_{\text{H,imp}} \text{ vanishes on scales } L > a \exp(\varepsilon_H a/Jc^2_{\text{imp}}). \text{ Hence terraces are generated spontaneously by the disorder. Because of the exponentially large length scale this effect will hardly be seen and hence HWs remain flat and elasticity non-local as long as } T < T_R. \text{ Bulk pinning of HWs.} \text{ In systems where the } U(1) \text{ symmetry of the magnetic structure is broken by weak anisotropy there is also a non-local interaction between impurities and the HW, as we will show now. Moving a rigid HW requires the rotation of all spins in at least one half space [see Fig. 2]. Such a rotation does not cost energy even in the presence of impurities, as long as the system has } U(1) \text{ symmetry and the interaction with impurities does not depend on the spin direction, as we assume here. Most of the experimental systems have however a weak anisotropy of the form}
\[
\mathcal{H}_v = -\int_r (v/a^3) \cos(p\phi), \quad v > 0, \tag{17}
\]
which generates a weak modulation of the wave vector
\[
q(x) \approx q[1 - \nu \sin p(qx + \phi_0)], \quad \nu \sim \frac{v}{q_1} \ll 1. \tag{18}
\]
This results in turn in a modulation of the energy density of the helix \( \mathcal{E}_h \approx JA(q(x))^2 \). Dilution by non-magnetic impurities acts now strongest close to the maximum of \(|q'(x)|\), i.e. at \( qx = \phi_0 + n \pi/p \). Depending on the sign of the interaction, these regions are either attracted or repelled by impurities. Since impurities are randomly distributed, the energy of a rigid helix will again not depend on \( x_0 \) and can hence be rotated without changing its energy [see dashed line in Fig. 2 right panel]. The situation changes if the helix can deform elastically by changing its wave vector by \( \delta \phi(r) \). A stretch of the helix by \( \delta q \) on scale \( L \) costs an energy \( \sim L \varepsilon^2 \delta q^2/a \). But since impurities are distributed randomly, the typical energy gain due to the stretch is of the order \( Jc^2_{\text{imp}}(L/a)^{3/2} \). A distortion of the order \( \delta q \approx \pi/L \) is therefore favorable on the scales larger than \( L_{\varepsilon} \approx a(\pi \theta)^4/(v^2 c_{\text{imp}}) \). On these scales the phase profile \( \phi(x) \) has adapted to the disorder and resists now a change of the phase \( \phi_0 \). This can most easily be seen in the case of an infinitely soft one-dimensional system, corresponding to a strong pinning situation. There the condition of maximal pinning energy gain, \( \phi(x) + \phi_0 = 2\pi n_\parallel/p \), can be fulfilled simultaneously at all impurity positions \( x_i \) [see solid line in Fig. 2 right panel]. The integers \( n_\parallel \) are chosen to minimize the residual elastic energy. A global spin rotation, corresponding to a shift of the modulated structure, would now change the energy. This leads to pinning. The pinning force per unit volume, resisting rotation of the helix, is then
\[
J \varepsilon^2 c^2_{\text{imp}}/(\pi^2 a^4 \theta^5) \text{ and the coercive force}
\]
\[
f_{\text{pin}}(H_{\text{bulk}}) \approx J \varepsilon^4 c^2_{\text{imp}} L_x/(\pi^2 a^4 \theta^5). \tag{19}
\]
Here \( L_x \) is the typical distance between HWs. Pinning of vortex walls.—Their elasticity is short range, shifting \( D_v \) to 4. The relation between the Larkin length and \( \Delta_0(0) \) follows in complete analogy to the calculation for the HW if we replace \( \text{5} \) by \( \text{10} \) in the calculation of the flow of \( \Delta_0 \).

This gives \( L_x^2 \approx 4J^2/(\mu C_2/(a^4 \Delta_0^2(0))) \). To obtain \( \Delta_0(0) \) we have to determine the energy density \( \sim \mathcal{E}_v \) of the vortex wall. Since in this case there is no exact solution of the saddle point equation, we resort again to the variational calculation used in \( \text{5} \). Clearly
\( \mathcal{E}_v(x, y) \) of a wall in the \( x-z \) plane is periodic in \( x \) with period \( \pi/q \). We therefore can restrict ourselves to the region \( |x| < \pi/(2|q|) \) where we get (\( c_2 = 5/64 \))

\[
\mathcal{E}_v(x, y) \approx 2c_2 \left[ (c_2 y^2 + x^2 \kappa^2) \right]^2. \tag{20}
\]

reproduces \( \sigma_v = \int_{x,y} \mathcal{E}_v(x, y)q/a\pi, \) as it should be.

Pinning force \( \mathcal{F}(x, \bar{n}r) = J|\gamma_E|q(x - nh, \bar{n}r) \) and disorder correlator \( \Delta^{(2)}_n(x, 0) \sim J^2c_{\text{imp}}a/(x)^7 \) are now modulated, reflecting the vortex array inside the DW. The main contribution to the pinning force comes from the center of the vortices. Averaging \( \Delta^{(2)}_n(x, 0) \) over the one vortex period one obtains for the coercive force

\[
f_{\text{pin}}^{(c)} \approx c_3 J|\theta|c_{\text{imp}}/ \left( a^3 \sqrt{C_1 C_2 \kappa^7} \right). \tag{21}
\]

where \( c_3 \approx 1.7 \times 10^{-5} \). The result can be used to calculate the typical size (perpendicular to \( x \)) of metastable domains. Assuming equilibrium between surface tension and the pinning force, one obtains from \( \sigma_v = f_{\text{pin}}^{(c)} R \) for the size of metastable domains \( R \approx c_4 a|\theta|^2/c_{\text{imp}}. \) Here \( c_4 \approx 4.6 \times 10^3. \) Using \( n_{\text{imp}} \approx 10^{22} m^{-3}, a = 4 \times 10^{-10} m, \gamma = 4 \) and \( \theta = \pi/6, \) one obtains \( R \approx 200 \mu m, \) in reasonable agreement with experiments [10]. It should be noted that in contrast to simpler scaling arguments, the small factor \( c_3 \) can only be found from a FRG.

**Non-centrosymmetric case.**—In the generic case [8]

\[
\mathcal{H} = \frac{J}{2} \int \left[ (\nabla \mathbf{m})^2 + |\mathbf{m}(\nabla \times \mathbf{m}) \right]. \tag{22}
\]

The direction of \( \mathbf{q} = \theta \hat{q}/a \) is fixed by a weak (cubic) anisotropy of the order \( \theta^4, \theta (\ll 1) \) is here proportional to the spin-orbit coupling. The anisotropy term can therefore be neglected otherwise. HWs are characterized by a normal \( \hat{n} \) obeying \( \hat{n} q_{\pm} = q_{\pm}, \) \( q_{\pm} \) are the wave vectors of the adjacent domains. To be specific we consider \( q_{\pm} = (q_x, 0, \pm q_z(x)) \) with \( q_z(x) \) changing smoothly from \( q_z \) to \( -q_z, \) over a region of size \( q^{-1} \) when crossing the wall [8]. Expressing \( \mathbf{m} = \hat{y} \cos \phi + \hat{q}(x) \times \hat{y} \sin \phi, \) and ignoring terms which are non-zero only inside the HW (and hence do not contribute to non-local elasticity), we can rewrite [22] in the same form as [2]

\[
\mathcal{H}_0 \approx \frac{J}{2} \int \left[ \nabla \phi - \hat{q}(x) \right]^2. \tag{23}
\]

Thus, HWs in non-centrosymmetric systems show long range elasticity as well. All other conclusions made for HW and vortex walls transfer correspondingly.

**Liquid crystals.**—Chiral nematic and smectic phases of liquid crystals exhibit helical phase [24, 25]. Their description is similar to that used in the non-centrosymmetric case, [22], provided \( \mathbf{m} \) is replaced by the director \( \mathbf{n}, \) and \( J \) by the Frank constant. Further, in contrast to helimagnets, the direction of \( \mathbf{q} \) is not fixed in space since chirality is introduced through the chirality of the molecules. DWs of the type described above will occur however as grain boundaries between phases with different \( \mathbf{q} \) direction. A detailed discussion is however beyond the scope of the present paper.
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